International Journal of All Research Education and Scientific Methods (IJARESM),
ISSN: 2455-6211, Volume 13, Issue 5, May-2025, Available online at: www.ijaresm.com

Examining the Role of Indian Courts in Assisting Arbitral Tribunals: A Comprehensive Legal Analysis

Swapnil Mukherjee[1], Dr. Malay Adhikari[2], Dr. Nirupama[3]

ABSTRACT

This thorough analysis explores all of the legal aspects as Indian courts involve themselves within the expansion of arbitral tribunals since they incorporate evidence inside the 1996 Arbitration and Conciliation Act. This academic investigation focuses on how arbitration historically founded itself within India as well as highlights how legislative changes made the country a prominent destination where disputes settle. In this treatise, we explore the complex clauses of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act which do govern evidence use in arbitration proceedings. It specifically examines the Indian courts' authority in easing arbitration's procedural aspects. Systematic addressing of concerns related to potential excessive court interference includes the debates and complexities intrinsic in the interpretation puzzles and implementation sphere's system. This study rigorously compares the legal system of India with worldwide standards, plus this comparison reveals its strengths as well as areas that might be improved. Furthermore, studying previous legal cases helps toward comprehension of the legal landscape. This understanding does emphasise the delicate balance that is needed for the protection of party autonomy in guaranteeing effective judicial collaboration. This article scrutinizes the upcoming trajectory of India's arbitration[4] landscape including possible legal changes, worldwide patterns, and technical progress. Perceptions amassed toward a forward-thinking conclusion. This conclusion strongly promotes addressing existing difficulties proactively as it incorporates technologically advanced solutions while aligning with international standards. This study furthers comprehension of the detailed connection linking Indian tribunals with arbitration committees. It may offer valuable understandings to experts plus people in international conflict resolution and professionals.

Keywords: Indian Courts, Arbitral Tribunals, Legal Analysis, Arbitration And Conciliation Act, Evidence-Taking.

INTRODUCTION

Arbitration, as it is a favoured dispute[5] resolution procedure worldwide, is now a nimble substitute for standard court action. In India, the Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1996 is meaningful. This Act organises arbitration processes along with expedites arbitration processes. The vital function of Indian courts in the supporting of arbitral tribunals with evidentiary concerns acquires paramount importance[6] as the prevalence of arbitration burgeons within the legal arena of India. This exposition examines in detail India's arbitration background, and it highlights evidence's importance in arbitral processes while Indian courts support this environment.

Background of Arbitration in India:

[1] *Ph.D. (Law) Research Scholar (Full-time), Batch 2022 - 2025, Amity Law School, Amity University, Kolkata, Major Arterial Road, Action Area II, Rajarhat, New Town, Kolkata, West Bengal, India, Pin: 700135, Email: swapnil.mukherjee1@s.amity.edu, swapnilmukherjee8@gmail.com, Contact Number: +91 9126803849, Orcid - https://orcid.org/0009-0009-4903-7406*

[2] Ph.D. Guide, Assistant Professor, Amity Law School, Amity University, Kolkata, Major Arterial Road, Action Area II, Rajarhat, New Town, Kolkata, West Bengal, India, Pin: 700135, Email: madhikari@kol.amity.edu, Scopus ID: 57192297537

[3] Ph.D. Co-Guide, Principal and Associate Professor, Durgapur Institute of Legal Studies, affiliated with Kazi Nazrul University, City Centre Ph-II, Surya Sen Sarani, Near Gandhi More, Durgapur, District – Paschim Bardhaman, West Bengal, Pin: 713208, Email: nirupama.265@rediffmail.com

[4] "7 Role of National Courts During the Proceedings." undefined (2022). doi: 10.1093/law/9780192869906.003.0007

[5] Giupponi, Belen Olmos. "“Virtual” Dispute Resolution in International Arbitration: Mapping Its Advantages and Main Caveats in the Face of COVID-19." *The Impact of Covid on International Disputes*. Brill Nijhoff, 2022. 62-83.

[6] Ullah, I. (2015). English and Indian legal perspective on third-party disclosure in arbitration: it is time to assimilate third party into the family of arbitration. *Arbitration International*, *31*(1), 127-149.

India's history in arbitration experienced revolutions because legislators grounded the modern structure in the 1996 Arbitration and Conciliation Act. Fragmentation together with a lack of coordination characterized the arbitration scene. This happened during a time before this legislative milestone occurred. The 1996 Act founds a pro-arbitration culture[7], seeking to align India's arbitration rules with global standards.

Historically, arbitration of India finds the roots back in ancient times when arbitrators determined the settlement of conflicts between different groups. Yet, arbitrating formally as being a legal procedure was consolidated during that British colonial period. First, the Indian Arbitration Act of 1899 governed arbitration within the country. India's milestones that followed thereafter, just like at the time when it acceded to the New York Convention back in 1960, reinforced the resolve that it would accept then enforce international arbitral rulings.[8]

In 1996, the legislative revamp intended to rectify the inadequacies of preceding frameworks. India's arbitration rules became aligned with global standards due to this revamp. The Act absorbed some elements that are derived from the UNCITRAL Model Law. It also stressed aspects like party independence coupled with restrained judicial meddling with the arbitral rulings'[9] legitimacy. This legal change confirmed India's place as a popular dispute resolution location.

Importance of Evidentiary Dynamics in Arbitral Proceedings:
At the centre of each arbitration, there lays the foundational basis for evidence presentation and assessment. In contrast to the rigid court systems of litigation,[10] arbitration allows plaintiffs to present their cases freely. Gathering as well as presenting evidence plays a vital role in discerning facts. It also supports allegations and affects the arbitration panel's judgment.

Within the arbitration model, evidence assumes many forms for instance papers, witness testimony, expert reports, with other exhibits. Achieving just conflict resolution depends on the important coordination of evidence hearings. For rational decision-making,[11] it allows plaintiffs with a platform to supply facts, engage in witness cross-examination, and express their argument.

Given all of the relevance in evidence, parties commonly seek out the cooperation of arbitral tribunals and also, where it is needed, national courts. They engage these various entities in the precise process of collecting and subsequently presenting evidence. Indian courts providing help in evidentiary hearings is fundamentally relevant[12] in this domain.

Overview of Judicial Assistance in Evidentiary Proceedings under the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996:
The complicated dance involving the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, and Indian courts that assist arbitral tribunals with evidentiary issues deserves critical analysis. The Act is what assures fair treatment[13] for parties since it strikes a proportion that is difficult. This proportion exists between wise non-interference with the maintenance of a functional arbitration procedure.

Documentary Facilitation: Within the boundaries of Section 27 of the Act lies the jurisdiction that lets the tribunal urge parties for them to submit necessary papers plus other substantiating evidence.[14] In the event a party demurs, the court gives support to the tribunal. Compliance can be forced judicially by the tribunal then. Access for the tribunal to relevant material right across that spectrum is assured by this sensible intervention.

---

[7] Cremades, Bernardo M. "Overcoming the clash of legal cultures: the role of interactive arbitration." *Arbitration International* 14.2 (1998): 157-172.
[8] Asouzu, Amazu A. "The UN, the UNCITRAL model arbitration law and the Lex Arbitri of Nigeria." *Journal of international arbitration* 17.5 (2000).
[9] Steyn, Johan. "England's Response to the UNCITRAL Model Law of Arbitration." *Arbitration International* 10.1 (1994): 1-16.
[10] Halket, Thomas D., ed. *Arbitration of international intellectual property disputes*. Juris Publishing, Inc., 2012.
[11] Korn, Harold L. "Law, fact, and science in the courts." *Columbia Law Review* 66.6 (1966): 1080-1116.
[12] Bookman, Pamela K. "Arbitral Courts." *Va. J. Int'l L.* 61 (2020): 161.
[13] Abwuor, John O. *Role of courts in arbitration: a critical analysis of the Kenyan arbitration act no. 4 of 1995*. Diss. University of NAIROBI, 2012.
[14] Abwuor, John O. *Role of courts in arbitration: a critical analysis of the Kenyan arbitration act no. 4 of 1995*. Diss. University of NAIROBI, 2012.

- **Interrogation of Witnesses:** In arbitration hearings, Section 19's interaction is obvious in witness examination's key feature. The clause does let the tribunal administer the oaths. It also lets it interrogate with witnesses. The tribunal can require attendance plus examination[15] if witnesses are recalcitrant with the court's backing.

- **Sourcing Expert Testimony:** When instances involve expert testimony, Indian courts adopt a helpful role in appointments. The tribunal has authorization under Section 26 for the appointment of experts when it has court approval. Judicial monitoring's interweaving provides a selection procedure[16] that is fair as well as unprejudiced.

- **Enforcement Mandate:** Arbitral rulings are convincing since tribunals enforce directions they issue. Indian courts, under Section 17, have the right toward helping implementation of tribunal demands[17] like witness questioning, document production, and others.

It is vital to stress this point even though the Act argues for judicial help. The Act's essence remains based upon basic involvement. The role of the court is as that of a propitious ally, and it promotes an arbitration atmosphere uncluttered by procedural constraints. This legal theory aligns with worldwide optimal methods. India thus becomes more attractive as an arbitration venue.

Juridical Framework:
The essence of Indian judicial aid establishes hearings involving evidence inside arbitration under the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. This legislative foundation plays a vital role for regulating arbitration within India and provides a complete compendium of legislation that controls both local and international arbitration.[18]

Statutory Stipulations:
The Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1996 has in it clauses that are clear. Throughout evidence stages, these clauses specify how Indian courts support arbitral tribunals. These clauses function as linchpins since they strengthen the efficiency and effectiveness of the arbitration process. Salient provisions encompass:

Section 27 - Court Assistance in Evidentiary Proceedings:-
Granting power to arbitral tribunals, courts may be involved via this clause as they gather evidence. The tribunal has authorization for the purposes of compelling document production as well as witness testimony and expert examination commissions. Because it ensures the tribunal's armament stands ready for a wise evidence examination,[19] the court's function is auxiliary.

Section 19 - Witness Attendance:-
The tribunal has authority from Section 19 to administer oaths plus it questions witnesses in proceedings. When witnesses do not cooperate, judges collaborate for demanded presence. This measure fortifies the tribunal's power for obtaining important testimony. Witness cooperation is therefore assured of.

Section 26 - Expert Appointments:-
Section 26 enables for the tribunal to select from experts at such a time when expert evidence is in fact needed. This depends upon court permission. This clause compels judicial intervention so that it assures impartiality with knowledge because expert views are necessary when conflicts are complicated.

Section 17 - Interim Measures by Courts:-
Section 17 is becoming relevant since it works to preserve effectiveness. It is not in any way specifically connected to evidence concerns however it authorises Indian courts in order that they can impose interim remedies which include orders for evidence preservation. This ensures that tribunal rulings are enforceable and that excessive prejudice is avoided during arbitration.

---

[15] Kidane, Won. *The culture of international arbitration*. Oxford University Press, 2017.
[16] Timothy, D. "The Conundrum Underlying Section 26 of the Arbitration Amendment Act, 2015: Prospective or Retrospective." *Indian J. Arb. L.* 5 (2016): 205.
[17] Saini, Debi S. "Labour court administration in India." *Labour Adjudication in India, International Labour Organization––South Asian Advisory Team (ILO-SAAT), New Delhi* (1997).
[18] Nair, Promod. "Surveying a Decade of the 'New'Law of Arbitration in India." *Arbitration international* 23.4 (2007): 699-740.
[19] Bartkus, Jurgis. *The Admissibility of Evidence in International Commercial Arbitration*. Diss. Ghent University, 2023.

The rules that are included within the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, establish such a solid legal superstructure, arbitral tribunals are thus empowered, and such a transparent system delineates asking for judicial help during evidentiary tasks.

International Conventions and Treaties Pertinent to Evidentiary Procedures in Arbitration:
The Republic of India is party to many international treaties as well as conventions impacting deeply upon the procedural features of evidence processes in arbitration.[20] These tools have a key role when joining formal guides. Arbitral rulings are accepted worldwide on account of them. The salient conventions and treaties encompass:

The New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral judgements (1958): When India admitted itself into the New York Convention, it bore important repercussions in terms of those accepting and enforcing arbitral judgements. Even though people do not pay instant attention to evidentiary concerns, the Convention's secured principles effectively support the way that the arbitral procedure is broadly effective, as they transcend the domain in which evidence is presented and assessed.[21]

The UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985): Though not a treaty itself the UNCITRAL Model Law has irrevocably moulded India's arbitration environment. Several jurisdictions adopted it internationally. It guides nations in the drafting as well as the modifying of their arbitration rules. Because it does stress precepts such as party autonomy and also minimal court involvement, the Model Law greatly helps with the quick conduct of arbitral processes, which in fact spans the domain of evidence presentation.[22]

These international conventions and treaties provide just a structured framework for inter-jurisdictional cooperation, and they cultivate an atmosphere favourable to the successful presenting of evidence in the field of international arbitration.

Precedential Adjudications Molding the Legal Milieu:
Judicial rulings function considerably to structure the legal framework surrounding how Indian courts assist with obtaining evidence within arbitral proceedings.[23] Prominent precedents elaborate upon and expand the requirements of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act since they proffer advice across many dimensions of evidence presentation. Key judicial pronouncements include:

Bhatia International v. Bulk Trading S.A. (2002):
This important case outlined through judicial action. That case defined action's scope under the Act. Under particular restrictions, Indian courts might interfere in international commercial arbitrations conducted outside India, the Supreme Court held. Subsequent modifications made in 2015, which did establish Section 2(2), limited this very sweeping interpretation since they underlined that idea of minimum judicial intervention.[24]

Bharat Aluminium Co. v. Kaiser Aluminium Technical Service, Inc. (2012):
In this case the Supreme Court stressed party autonomy's importance and Indian courts' limited part in arbitration processes. It reasserted that courts should interfere only in extreme circumstances because it supported the liberty of parties to establish the procedural features of evidence collecting.[25]

Sasan Power Ltd. v. North American Coal Corporation (2016):
This case showed the idea that the court had responsibility when it went about executing interim directives arbitral tribunals had made, even directives in regard to evidence preservation. It showed the court had strength to grant remedies now. This is strengthening of the arbitral procedure[26] then.

---

[20] Nobles, Kimberley Chen. "Emerging issues and trends in international arbitration." *Cal. W. Int'l LJ* 43 (2012): 77.
[21] Dar, Wasiq Abass. "Understanding Public Policy as an Exception to the Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards: A South-Asian Perspective." *European Journal of Comparative Law and Governance* 2.4 (2015): 316-350.
[22] Zelmat, Fatiha. *THE CRISIS OF INTERNATIONAL LAW AND ITS RELATION TO WORLD ORDER*. American University, 1984.
[23] Baxi, Upendra. *The crisis of the Indian legal system. Alternatives in development: Law*. Stranger Journalism, 1982.
[24] KV, Krishnaprasad. "From Bhatia International to Dozco India: A Response to Vidhu Gupta's Stretching the Limits of Statutory Interpretation: Critical Review of Bhatia International v. Bulk Trading." *NALSAR Stud. L. Rev.* 6 (2011): 69.
[25] Rewari, Sulabh. "From Bhatia to Kaiser: Testing the Indian Judiciary's Self-Restraint." *Asian International Arbitration Journal* 9.2 (2013).

These court precedents give views that are meaningful on construing law rules. These precedents do also apply to evidence in arbitration. They substantively contribute for the formation of a jurisprudence that balances deftly the judicial assistance requirement together with arbitral process autonomy with efficiency maintenance.

Problems and Contentions:- Notwithstanding the complete legislative structure that defines the help Indian courts offer in evidence processes during arbitral proceedings, a range of problems and arguments remains. A prominent difficulty resides in the fact that judicial involvement may be disproportionate since that contravenes ideals that include party autonomy and that courts interfere to a limited extent. Critics do contend key sections of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act may be open to exploitation. Because these sections allow courts to give interim measures, unjustified delays as well as inflated expenses[27] result.

Furthermore, hazy borders surrounding "public policy" when arbitral verdicts execute under Section 34 of the Act have caused debate.[28] Because Indian courts have annulled decisions based on public policy grounds, an element of ambiguity has been injected, thereby undercutting the finality of arbitration. Since public policy lacks a particular defined criterion, the foundation's uneven application has worsened, provoking practitioner worries regarding consequence predictability.

Another problem arises during the execution of orders. These orders relate to the evidence given before arbitral tribunals. For tribunals, judicial help is permitted to ask in executing orders for witness questioning or document production, though the practical enforcement procedure may be difficult. Judicial help acquired late may obstruct the arbitral process thus weakening arbitration's efficiency and attractiveness as a dispute resolution tool.

Comparative Scrutiny: A Profound Analysis of India's Legal Framework for Facilitating Evidence in Arbitral Proceedings

Undertaking a comparative review of India's legal architecture for addressing court aid in evidence collecting during arbitral procedures uncovers both outstanding elements and areas ripe for improvement. India stands in contrast to worldwide standards when it dedicates itself to party autonomy coupled with participation in controlled judicial actions. Because of certain provisions, tribunals are able to summon documents as well as examine witnesses plus appoint experts. These provisions mesh perfectly with the UNCITRAL Model Law, plus they represent a dominant worldwide norm within arbitration law.[29]

Nevertheless, India grapples with issues linked to both the time and budgetary components of judicial processes when it is measured against locations with developed arbitration histories. Realities typically include delays in securing judicial aid, beyond the Act's focus on the quick settlement of arbitration-related court matters. This problem especially becomes noticeable[30] in arbitrations that are complicated where quick settlement vitally matters.

Countries also classify public policy uniquely. They do also implement it in a different way, and this causes them to nullify verdicts in arbitration. Some nations offer a tighter definition specifically construed because this curbs risks of broad surprising application. India could gain from deeper perception into public policy regarding arbitration to make awards more enforceable. Its interpretation should align to international norms.

India's legal structure shows a supportive posture within the sphere of enforcing temporary measures because it authorises courts to act to protect evidence or maintain the status quo. Yet, the fast reaction of the courts determines the success of temporary remedies. If interim relief procedures were shorter than specialist courts elsewhere, arbitration in India might be more effective.

Future Horizons: An Insight into the Evolving Landscape

The future possibilities for the role played by Indian courts are diverse when supporting arbitral tribunals during evidence collection because there are potential shifts, global patterns, and projected improvements.

---

[26] Surisetti, Prateek. "GMR Energy Ltd v Doosan Power Systems Pvt Ltd." *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management* 84.3 (2018).
[27] Ray, Bharati, ed. *Women of India: colonial and post-colonial periods*. Sage, 2005.
[28] Rendeiro, Amelia C. "Indian Arbitration and Public Policy." *Tex. L. Rev.* 89 (2010): 699.
[29] Kingsbury, Benedict. "International courts: uneven judicialization in global order." *CAMBRIDGE COMPANION TO INTERNATIONAL LAW, J. Crawford and M. Koskenniemi, eds* (2011): 11-05.
[30] Lee, John David. *Beyond the legal limits: Compliance theory and international commercial arbitration*. Yale University, 2004.

India's arbitration legislation's proposed improvements may mainly address conflicts along with existent difficulties. The Law Commission of India periodically suggests changes to the Arbitration and Conciliation Act for the reason that they try to improve its effectiveness plus handle growing difficulties. India's arbitration atmosphere might be reinforced greatly by reforms clarifying reasons verdicts get set aside, simplifying court procedures, and establishing a more predictable framework for evidence-related orders.

In the global arena, trends develop as actors accept and implement foreign arbitral verdicts, and the harmonization of procedural standards couples them together, so they may impact India's approach. If India does persistently adhere to international best practices, especially to those coming from UNCITRAL and from the Hague Conference on Private International Law, that may well improve India's position as a country supportive of arbitration.

The anticipated changes do comprise the continued digitization with arbitration processes. The worldwide reaction that was shown to the COVID-19 epidemic[31] has hastened at this trend. Arbitration procedures can become modern through technology in remote hearings. Tribunals and parties communicate virtually with technology and submit electronic evidence. These trends are known to Indian courts so they may change processes as international arbitration grows in the environment.

CONCLUSION

Ultimately, the analysis of the role played by Indian courts when evidence is collecting supports arbitral tribunals plus exposes a rich legal framework that contains strengths with problems. While the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, comprehensively frames worldwide best practices, people continue to concern themselves with possible excessive judicial interference along with difficulties interpreting public policy. India's adherence to party autonomy is stressed when contrasted with its need to simplify judicial procedures to match global norms.[32]

For us looking forward, law changes, global trends, and technology improvements determine future possibilities for India's arbitration scene. The reputation of India must be both preserved and also strengthened in global arbitration. Reforms resolving issues, encouraging efficiency, adhering to practices uncompromisingly, and adapting toward digitized procedures are important.

As India makes an attempt so it can become a favoured venue for arbitration, a forward-looking strategy that refines the laws and integrates the technology will be important. Indian courts are able to foster an environment that is supporting of the arbitration process effectively while ensuring the country is remaining competitive. When Indian courts address challenges, align with norms, and embrace advancements, this environment will attract international dispute resolution.

[31] Krans, Bart, and Anna Nylund. *Civil courts coping with covid-19*. Eleven International Publishing, 2021.

[32] Cremades, Bernardo M. "Overcoming the clash of legal cultures: the role of interactive arbitration." *Arbitration International* 14.2 (1998): 157-172.